



Coupled Common Fixed Point for Two Pairs of W -Compatible Maps Satisfying Rational Contractive Expression



H. K. Nashine¹, A. Gupta², A. K. Sharma³

¹Department of Mathematics, Amity University, Manth Kharora, Raipur-(Chhattisgarh), India

E-mail address: drhknashine@gmail.com



²Department of Mathematics, Dr C.V.Raman University, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India



³Department of Mathematics, Lakhmi Chand Institute of Technology, Bilaspur-495001 (Chhattisgarh), India.

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain coupled common fixed point theorems for two pair of w -compatible self maps satisfying rational contractive condition in metric spaces without considering completeness of whole space. Our result generalizes and improves related results existing in the literature. An example is given to support the usability of our results.

Keywords: Coupled fixed point, w -compatible maps, complete metric space.

Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the metric fixed point theory is still very actual, important and useful in all areas of mathematics. It can be applied for instance, in variational inequality, optimization, dynamic programming, and approximation theory and so on.

The well-known Banach contraction theorem plays a major role in solving problems in many branches in pure and applied mathematics. The Banach contraction mapping is one of the pivotal results of analysis. It is a famous tool for solving existence problems in various fields of mathematics. There are a lot of generalizations of the Banach contraction principle in the literature [2, 3, 11]. Ran and Reurings [11] extended the Banach contraction principle



in partially ordered sets with some applications to linear and nonlinear matrix equations. Nieto and Rodríguez-López [10] extended the result of Ran and Reurings and applied their main theorems to obtain a unique solution for a first order ordinary differential equation with periodic boundary conditions.

In the year 1987, Guo and Lakshmikantham [4] introduced the notion of coupled fixed point. In 2006 Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [1] reconsidered the concept of a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and investigated some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces. Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham also proved mixed monotone property for the first time and gave their classical coupled fixed point theorem for mapping which satisfy the mixed monotone property. As, an application, they studied the existence and uniqueness of the solution for a periodic boundary value problem associated with first order differential equation. B. S. Choudhury, Meitya and P. Das [2] gave coupled common fixed point theorem for a family of mappings. Many other results on coupled fixed point theory exist in the literature, for more details, we refer the reader to [4, 5, 7, 8, and 10].

In this paper, we obtain a unique common coupled fixed point theorem for two pair of w -compatible self maps satisfying rational contractive condition in metric spaces without considering completeness of whole space. Our result generalizes and improves related results existing in the literature.

Our result generalizes and improves a theorem of Nashine and Zoran et. al.[8] in metric space setting.

2. Preliminaries

First we recall some definitions used throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1. [5]. Let X be a nonempty set and let a mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is said to be a coupled fixed point of F if

$$F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$

It is clear that (x, y) is a coupled fixed point of F if and only if (y, x) is a coupled fixed point of F .

Definition 2.3. [4]. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

- i. A coupled coincident point of mappings $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ if $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.
- ii. A common coupled fixed point of mappings $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ if $x = fx = F(x, y)$ and $y = fy = F(y, x)$.

Definition 2.4. [10] The mappings $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ are called w -compatible if

$$f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy) \text{ and } f(F(y, x)) = F(fy, fx)$$

whenever $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.

3. Main Results

The first result of the paper is as follows:

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $F, G: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be such that

i. For $x, y, u, v \in X$,

(3.1)

$$d(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)] + \beta M((x, y), (u, v)) \\ + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fx, F(x, y)) + d(gu, G(u, v)) + d(fy, F(y, x)) + d(gv, G(v, u))] \\ + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fx, G(u, v)) + d(fy, G(v, u)) + d(gu, F(x, y)) + d(gv, F(y, x))],$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$.

$$(3.2) \quad M((x, y), (u, v)) = \min \left\{ d(fx, F(x, y)) \frac{2 + d(gu, G(u, v)) + d(gv, G(v, u))}{2 + d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)}, \right. \\ \left. d(gu, G(u, v)) \frac{2 + d(fx, F(x, y)) + d(fy, F(y, x))}{2 + d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)} \right\}$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$.

ii. $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $G(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$,

iii. either $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X and

iv. the pair (F, f) and (G, g) are w -compatible

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$. Moreover, the common coupled fixed point of F, G, f and g have the form (u, u) .

Proof. Let x_0, y_0 be arbitrary points in X .

From (ii), there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in X such that

$$F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) = gx_{2n+1} = z_{2n},$$

$$F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) = gy_{2n+1} = w_{2n},$$

$$G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) = fx_{2n+2} = z_{2n+1},$$

and

$$G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) = fy_{2n+2} = w_{2n+1}.$$

Now, we claim that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

(3.3)

$$d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta} \right) [d(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1})]$$

$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1})] + \beta M((x_{2n}, y_{2n}), (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fx_{2n}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) + d(gx_{2n+1}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fy_{2n}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) \\ & \quad + d(gy_{2n+1}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))] \\ & + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fx_{2n}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fy_{2n}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) + d(gx_{2n+1}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) + \\ & \quad dgy_{2n+1}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) = d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})] + \beta M((x_{2n}, y_{2n}), (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})] \\ & + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n+1}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n})], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M((x_{2n}, y_{2n}), (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\ & = \min \left\{ d(fx_{2n}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) \frac{2 + d(gx_{2n+1}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(gy_{2n+1}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))}{2 + d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1})}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. d(gx_{2n+1}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \frac{2 + d(fx_{2n}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) + d(fy_{2n}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}))}{2 + d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1})} \right\} \\ & = \min \left\{ d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) \frac{2 + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})}{2 + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) \frac{2 + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})}{2 + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5) \quad M((x_{2n}, y_{2n}), (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) = d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}).$$

On putting the value from eq. (3.5) in (3.4), we get

$$d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})] + \beta d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})] \\
 & \quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n+1}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n})],
 \end{aligned}$$

By using triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})] + \beta d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})] \\
 & \quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})],
 \end{aligned}$$

(3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n})] + \beta d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} [d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1})].
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly using that

$$d(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) = d(F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}), G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) = d(G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M((y_{2n}, x_{2n}), (y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \\
 & = \min \left\{ d(fy_{2n}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) \frac{2 + d(gy_{2n+1}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) + d(gx_{2n+1}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}))}{2 + d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1}) + d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. d(gy_{2n+1}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \frac{2 + d(fy_{2n}, F(y_{2n}, x_{2n})) + d(fx_{2n}, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}))}{2 + d(fy_{2n}, gy_{2n+1}) + d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})} \right\} \\
 & = \min \left\{ d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) \frac{2 + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1})}{2 + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n})}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}) \frac{2 + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n})}{2 + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n})} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$M((y_{2n}, x_{2n}), (y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) = d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}),$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n})] + \beta d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} [d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}) + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}) + d(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1})].
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$d(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) + d(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta} \right) [d(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1})].$$

Thus (3.3) holds.

Set $\delta_n := d(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}), n \in \mathbb{N}$

and $\Delta := \frac{\alpha + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta} < 1$. Then, the sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ is decreasing and

$$\delta_n \leq \Delta^n \delta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \Delta^n \delta_0.$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1})] = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) = 0.$$

It immediately follows; we shall prove that $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are Cauchy sequences.

$\delta_n > 0$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, for each $n \geq m$ we have

$$d(z_{2n}, z_{2m}) \leq d(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}) + d(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n-2}) + \dots + d(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m})$$

And

$$d(w_{2n}, w_{2m}) \leq d(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) + d(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n-2}) + \dots + d(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_{2n}, z_{2m}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2m}) &\leq \delta_{n-1} + \delta_{n-2} + \dots + \delta_m \\ &\leq (\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n-2} + \dots + \Delta^m) \delta_0 \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta^m}{1 - \Delta} \delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} [d(z_{2n}, z_{2m}) + d(w_{2n}, w_{2m})] = 0.$$

Thus $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the metric space (X, d) . Since $0 \leq \Delta < 1$.

Hence $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the metric space (X, d) .

Hence we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z_m) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w_n, w_m) = 0$.

Suppose $f(X)$ is complete. Since $\{z_{2n}\} \subseteq f(X)$ and $\{w_{2n}\} \subseteq f(X)$ are Cauchy sequences in the complete metric space $(f(X), d)$, it follows that the sequence $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are convergent in $(f(X), d)$. Thus

(3.8)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_{2n}, u) = 0$$

and

(3.9)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w_{2n}, v) = 0.$$

For some $u, v \in f(X)$.

Since the pair (F, f) is w – compatible, we have $fu = F(u, v)$ and $fv = F(v, u)$. Suppose that $fu \neq u$ or $fv \neq v$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, u) &\leq d(fu, z_{2n+1}) + d(z_{2n+1}, u) \\ &\leq d(F(u, v), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(z_{2n+1}, u) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fu, z_{2n}) + d(fv, w_{2n})] + \beta M((u, v), (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fu, F(u, v)) + d(z_{2n}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fv, F(v, u)) + d(w_{2n}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))] \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fu, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fv, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) + d(z_{2n}, F(u, v)) \\ &\quad + d(w_{2n}, F(v, u))] + d(z_{2n+1}, u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M((u, v), (x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) &= \min \left\{ d(fu, F(u, v)) \frac{2 + d(z_{2n}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(w_{2n}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))}{2 + d(fu, z_{2n}) + d(fv, w_{2n})}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. d(z_{2n}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \frac{2 + d(fu, F(u, v)) + d(fv, F(v, u))}{2 + d(fu, z_{2n}) + d(fv, w_{2n})} \right\} \\ &= d(fu, F(u, v)) \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, u) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fu, z_{2n}) + d(fv, w_{2n})] + \beta d(fu, F(u, v)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fu, F(u, v)) + d(z_{2n}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fv, F(v, u)) + d(w_{2n}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))] \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fu, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fv, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) + d(z_{2n}, F(u, v)) \\ &\quad + d(w_{2n}, F(v, u))] + d(z_{2n+1}, u). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fv, v) &\leq d(fv, w_{2n+1}) + d(w_{2n+1}, v) \\ &\leq d(F(v, u), G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) + d(w_{2n+1}, v) \\ d(fv, v) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fu, z_{2n}) + d(fv, w_{2n})] + \beta d(fv, F(v, u)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fv, F(v, u)) + d(z_{2n}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(fu, F(u, v)) + d(w_{2n}, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}))] \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fv, G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) + d(fu, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) + d(z_{2n}, F(v, u)) \\ &\quad + d(w_{2n}, F(u, v))] + d(w_{2n+1}, v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(fu, u) + d(fv, v) \leq \alpha[d(fu, z_{2n}) + d(fv, w_{2n})] + \beta[d(fu, u) + d(fv, v)] + 2\gamma[d(fu, u) + d(fv, v)] + 2\delta[d(fu, u) + d(fv, v)]$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, and using (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$d(fu, u) + d(fv, v) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta)[d(fu, u) + d(fv, v)]$$

$$d(fu, u) + d(fv, v) < d(fu, u) + d(fv, v).$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $fu = u$ and $fv = v$. Thus

(3.10)

$$F(u, v) = fu = u \text{ and } F(v, u) = fv = v.$$

Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, there exists $a, b \in X$ such that $u = F(u, v) = ga$ and $v = F(v, u) = gb$.

$$d(u, G(a, b)) = d(F(u, v), G(a, b))$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}[d(u, u) + d(v, v)] + \beta M((u, v), (a, b))$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{2}[d(u, F(u, v)) + d(u, G(a, b)) + d(v, F(v, u)) + d(v, G(b, a))] + \frac{\delta}{2}[d(u, G(a, b)) + d(v, G(b, a)) + d(u, F(u, v)) + d(v, F(v, u))]$$

$$d(u, G(a, b)) \leq 0$$

Hence $d(u, G(a, b)) = 0$, which implies that $G(a, b) = u = ga$.

Similarly we have $G(b, a) = v = gb$.

Since the pair (G, g) is w -compatible, we have $gu = G(u, v)$ and $gv = G(v, u)$. suppose that $gu \neq u$ or $gv \neq v$. we have

$$d(u, gu) = d(F(u, v), G(u, v))$$

$$d(F(u, v), G(u, v)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}[d(fu, gu) + d(fv, gv)] + \beta M((u, v), (u, v))$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{2}[d(fu, F(u, v)) + d(gu, G(u, v)) + d(fv, F(v, u)) + d(gv, G(v, u))] + \frac{\delta}{2}[d(fu, G(u, v)) + d(fv, G(v, u)) + d(gu, F(u, v)) + d(gv, F(v, u))],$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{2}[d(u, gu) + d(v, gv)] + \beta d(gu, u)$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{2}[d(u, u) + d(gu, u) + d(v, v) + d(gv, v)]$$

$$+ \frac{\delta}{2}[d(u, u) + d(v, v) + d(gu, u) + d(gv, v)],$$

and

$$d(v, gv) = d(F(v, u), G(v, u))$$

$$d(F(v, u), G(v, u)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}[d(u, gu) + d(v, gv)] + \beta d(gv, v)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(v, v) + d(gv, v) + d(u, u) + d(gu, u)] \\
 & + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(u, u) + d(v, v) + d(gv, v) + d(gu, u)],
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u, gu) + d(v, gv) & \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta) [d(u, gu) + d(v, gv)] \\
 d(u, gu) + d(v, gv) & \leq [d(u, gu) + d(v, gv)].
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $gu = u$ and $gv = v$. Thus,

(3.11)

$$u = gu = G(u, v) \text{ and } v = gv = G(v, u).$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that (u, v) is a common coupled fixed point of F, f, G and g .

Let (u^*, v^*) be another common coupled fixed point of F, f, G and g . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F(u, v), G(u^*, v^*)) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(u, u^*) + d(v, v^*)] + \beta M((u, v), (u^*, v^*)) \\
 & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(u, F(u, v)) + d(u^*, u) + d(v, F(v, u)) + d(v^*, v)] \\
 & + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(u, u) + d(v, v) + d(u^*, u) + d(v^*, v)], \\
 d(F(u, v), G(u^*, v^*)) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(u, u^*) + d(v, v^*)] + \beta (d(u^*, u)) \\
 & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(u^*, u) + d(v^*, v)] + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(u^*, u) + d(v^*, v)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F(v, u), G(v^*, u^*)) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(u, u^*) + d(v, v^*)] + \beta (d(v^*, v)) \\
 & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(u^*, u) + d(v^*, v)] + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(u^*, u) + d(v^*, v)].
 \end{aligned}$$

By adding both above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u, u^*) + d(v, v^*) & \leq d(F(u, v), G(u^*, v^*)) + d(F(v, u), G(v^*, u^*)) \\
 & \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta) [d(u, u^*) + d(v, v^*)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$< d(u, u^*) + d(v, v^*),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence (u, v) is the unique common coupled fixed point of F, G, f and g .

Now we will show that $u = v$. suppose $u \neq v$.

$$d(u, v) = d(F(u, v), G(u, v))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F(u, v), G(u, v)) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(u, v) + d(v, u)] + \beta M((u, v), (v, u)) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(u, u) + d(v, u) + d(v, v) + d(u, v)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(u, u) + d(v, v) + d(v, u) + d(u, v)], \\
 &\quad d(u, v) < d(u, v).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u = v$.

Thus $u = fu = F(u, u) = G(u, u) = gu = G(u, v)$, that is, the common coupled fixed point of F, G, f and g has the form (u, u) .

By choosing α, β, γ and δ suitably, one can deduce some corollaries from Theorem 3.1. For example, if we take $\beta, \delta = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ respectively in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $F, G: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be such that

i. For $x, y, u, v \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F(x, y), G(u, v)) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fx, F(x, y)) + d(gu, G(u, v)) + d(fy, F(y, x)) + d(gv, G(v, u))],
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$.

and $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha + 2\gamma < 1$.

ii. $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $G(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$,

iii. either $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X and

iv. the pair (F, f) and (G, g) are w -compatible.

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$. Moreover, the common coupled fixed point of F, G, f and g have the form (u, u) .

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $F, G: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be such that

i. For $x, y, u, v \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F(x, y), G(u, v)) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fx, F(x, y)) + d(gu, G(u, v)) + d(fy, F(y, x)) + d(gv, G(v, u))] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fx, G(u, v)) + d(fy, G(v, u)) + d(gu, F(x, y)) + d(gv, F(y, x))],
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$.

and $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + 2\delta + 2\gamma < 1$.

- ii. $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $G(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$,
- iii. either $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X and
- iv. the pair (F, f) and (G, g) are w -compatible.

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$. Moreover, the common coupled fixed point of F, G, f and g have the form (u, u) .

Corollary 3.4. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $F, G: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that

- i. For $x, y, u, v \in X$,

$$d(F(x, y), G(u, v)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(x, u) + d(y, v)] + \beta M((x, y), (u, v)) \\ + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(x, F(x, y)) + d(u, G(u, v)) + d(y, F(y, x)) + d(v, G(v, u))] \\ + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(x, G(u, v)) + d(y, G(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))],$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$.

$$M((x, y), (u, v)) = \min \left\{ d(x, F(x, y)) \frac{2 + d(u, G(u, v)) + d(v, G(v, u))}{2 + d(x, u) + d(y, v)}, \right. \\ \left. d(u, G(u, v)) \frac{2 + d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x))}{2 + d(x, u) + d(y, v)} \right\}$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + 2\gamma < 1$.

$$F(X \times X) \subseteq X \text{ and } G(X \times X) \subseteq X,$$

Then F and G have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Now a consequence of Corollary 3.4 by taking $F(x, y) = fx$ and $G(u, v) = v$ where $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$, is the following:

Corollary 3.5. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that

- i. For $x, y, u, v \in X$,
- $$d(fx, gu) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(x, u) + d(y, v)] + \beta M((x, y), (u, v))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(x, fx) + d(u, gu)] + d(y, fy) + d(v, gv) \\
 & + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(x, gu) + d(y, gv) + d(u, fx) + d(v, fy)],
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$.

$$M((x, y), (u, v)) = \min \left\{ d(x, fx) \frac{2 + d(u, gu) + d(v, gv)}{2 + d(x, u) + d(y, v)}, \right. \\
 \left. d(u, gu) \frac{2 + d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)}{2 + d(x, u) + d(y, v)} \right\}$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + 2\gamma < 1$.

ii. $f(X) \subseteq X$ and $g(X) \subseteq X$,

iii. either $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X .

Then f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X .

Remark 3.6 Corollary 3.4. is the without ordered version of Nashine and Zoran's [8]

Theorem 2.3 and is extended for two such mapping $F, G: X \times X \rightarrow X$ in metric spaces.

Remark 3.7 Comparing the conditions in Theorem 3.1 and the conditions in Theorem 2.3 of Nashine and Zoran [8], we see that our result is a generalization of (Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 in [8]) for coupled fixed in metric space instead of Partially ordered metric space for four maps.

Example 3.8 Let $X = [0, +\infty)$ then (X, d) is a metric space with the standard metric of real numbers. Let $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and mapping $F, G, f, g \rightarrow X$, defined by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x^2 - 2y^2}{18}, G(u, v) = \frac{2u^2 - 4v^2}{18}, fx = x^2, \text{ and } gu = 2u^2 \text{ with the standard metric}$$

It is easy to check that all the condition of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$ and now we will prove that the pair (F, f) and (G, g) are w-compatible.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy) \text{ and } f(F(y, x)) = F(fy, fx) \\
 fF(x, y) & = \left(\frac{x^2 - 2y^2}{18} \right)^2 = \frac{x^4 + 4y^4 - 4x^2y^2}{(18)^2} \leq \frac{x^4 - 2y^4}{18} = F(x^2, y^2) = F(fx, fy)
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$f(F(y, x)) = \left(\frac{y^2 - 2x^2}{18} \right)^2 = \frac{y^4 + 4x^4 - 4y^2x^2}{(18)^2} \leq \frac{y^4 - 2x^4}{18} = F(y^2, x^2) = F(fy, fx).$$

Then it is clear that F and f are w-compatible.

And similarly we can prove that (G, g) are w -compatible.

$$g(G(u, v)) = G(gu, gv) \text{ and } g(G(v, u)) = G(gv, gu)$$

$$g(G(u, v)) = g\left(\frac{2u^2 - 4v^2}{18}\right) = 2\left(\frac{2u^2 - 4v^2}{18}\right)^2 \leq \frac{8u^4 - 16v^4}{18} = G(2u^2, 2v^2) = G(gu, gv)$$

and

$$g(G(v, u)) = g\left(\frac{2v^2 - 4u^2}{18}\right) = 2\left(\frac{2v^2 - 4u^2}{18}\right)^2 \leq \frac{8v^4 - 16u^4}{18} = G(2v^2, 2u^2) = G(gv, gu).$$

Then it is clear that G and g are w -compatible.

Now we prove that condition (3.1) is satisfied for $\alpha = \frac{1}{6}, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x, y), G(u, v)) &\leq \left| \frac{x^2 - 2y^2}{18} - \frac{2u^2 - 4v^2}{18} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{18} |(x^2 - 2u^2) - 2(y^2 - 2v^2)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{18} (d(fx, gu) + 2d(fy, gv)) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{18} \frac{(d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv))}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{(d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv))}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(fx, gu) + d(fy, gv)] + \beta \mathbf{M}((x, y), (u, v))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(fx, F(x, y)) + d(gu, G(u, v)) + d(fy, F(y, x)) + d(gv, G(v, u))] \\ &+ \frac{\delta}{2} [d(fx, G(u, v)) + d(fy, G(v, u)) + d(gu, F(x, y)) + d(gv, F(y, x))]. \end{aligned}$$

This, shows that all the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, we conclude that F, G, f and g have a coupled common fixed point in X . This common coupled fixed point is $((x, y), (u, v)) = (0, 0)$.

4. Acknowledgments

The authors are highly indebted to the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.



5. References

- [1] T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partial ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65, (2006) 1379-1393.
- [2] B. S. Choudhury, N. Meitya and P. Das, A coupled common fixed point theorem for a family of mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 18 (1) (2013), 14-26.
- [3] B. K. Dass and S. Gupta, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 6 (1975), 1455-1458.
- [4] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, Coupled fixed point of nonlinear operator with application, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 11 (1987), 623-632.
- [5] V. Lakshmikantham and Lj. B. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point for nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces and application, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 70(2009), 4341-4349.
- [6] H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenovic, Coupled common fixed points theorems for w^* -compatible mappings in ordered cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218 (2011), 5422-5432.
- [7] H. K. Nashine and W. Shatnawi, Coupled common fixed points theorems for pair of commuting mapping in partially ordered complete metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011), 1984-1993.
- [8] H. K. Nashine and Z. Kadelburg, Partially ordered metric spaces, rational contractive expressions and coupled fixed points, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17(4) (2012), 471-489.
- [9] J. J. Nieto and R. R. Lopez, Contractive mappings theorems in partially ordered set and application to ordinary differential equations, *order* 22 (2005), 223-239.
- [10] K. P. R. Rao, G. N. V. Kishore, N. V. Luong, A unique common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps under ψ - ϕ contractive condition in partial metric, *Cubo*, Vol.14, No-03 (2012), 115-127.
- [11] A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications, to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 1435-1443.
- [12] K. P. R. Rao, G. N. V. Kishore, A unique common fixed point theorem for four maps under ψ - ϕ contractive condition in partial metric spaces, *Bulletin of Mathematical Anal. and Applications*, Vol. 3 (2011), Pages 56-63.